**Appendix A - Table A2.** Summary of appropriate <u>study design</u>, <u>camera spacing</u>, and <u>survey</u> effort (adapted from Wearn & Glover-Kapfer [2017] with additional references included) for various <u>modelling approaches</u>. Note – these are guidelines only, using best available information. There is uncertainty associated with each of the different approaches. To address this, the table contains 'minimum', 'ideal' and 'often' used values, as well as qualifiers.

Approach	Camera arrangement	<u>Camera</u> spacing	Number of cameras	Camera days per camera location	<u>Total number of</u> <u>camera days</u>	Survey duration	References
<u>Species</u> <u>inventory</u>	<ul> <li><u>Targeted</u><sup>1,2</sup></li> <li><u>Random</u> if species poorly known<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Flexible<sup>4</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No minimum<sup>1,4,5</sup></li> <li>Ideally 1-2 km<sup>1,5,6</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No minimum<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Ideally ≥ 20<sup>2,3</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No minimum<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Ideally ≥ 30<sup>5</sup></li> <li>&lt; 30 for highly detectable<sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	• No minimum <sup>2,3,5</sup>	• No maximum <sup>2,4,5</sup>	<ul> <li><sup>1</sup> Rovero et al., 2013</li> <li><sup>2</sup> Tobler et al., 2008</li> <li><sup>3</sup> Wearn et al., 2013</li> <li><sup>4</sup> Rovero &amp; Tobler, 2010</li> <li><sup>5</sup> Wearn &amp; Glover-Kapfer, 2017</li> <li><sup>6</sup> Colyn et al., 2018</li> <li><sup>7</sup> O'Brien, 2010</li> <li><sup>8</sup> O'Connell &amp; Bailey, 2011</li> </ul>
Species diversity & richness	<ul> <li>Ideally, <u>random</u><sup>1,5</sup></li> <li><u>Stratified</u><sup>5</sup></li> <li><u>Stratified</u> <u>random</u><sup>5</sup></li> <li><u>Clustered</u><sup>7,8</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Spatially independent<sup>I,5</sup></li> <li>Ideally ≥ 1 km, but closer may be justified<sup>2,9</sup></li> <li>1-2 km is often adequate (provided each camera is treated as an independent sample)<sup>2,5,10,11</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Minimum 20<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Commonly 30<sup>10</sup></li> <li>Ideally ≥ 50<sup>5</sup></li> <li>If stratified, 20-50 per stratum<sup>5</sup></li> <li>20-100 to reach species- accumulation asymptote<sup>10,12,13</sup></li> <li>25-35, scale- dependent<sup>14</sup></li> </ul>	• Ideally ≥ 30 <sup>5,10</sup>	<ul> <li>Generally, 600- 1500<sup>5</sup></li> <li>≥ 1000<sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ideally &lt; 6 months<sup>5</sup></li> <li>3-6 months for medium-large mammals<sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Balley, 2011</li> <li><sup>9</sup> Cusack et al., 2015</li> <li><sup>10</sup> Ahumada et al., 2011</li> <li><sup>11</sup> Kinnaird &amp; O'Brien, 2011</li> <li><sup>12</sup> Wearn et al., 2016</li> <li><sup>13</sup> Li et al., 2012</li> <li><sup>14</sup> Kays et al., 2020</li> </ul>
Occupancy models <sup>15</sup>	<ul> <li>Ideally <u>random</u><sup>7,8,16–18</sup></li> <li><u>Targeted</u><sup>7,16–18</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>If home range size known, ideally, &gt; home</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Minimum 40<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Ideally ≥ 100<sup>16–18</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥ 30 for most<sup>16–18</sup></li> <li>80-100 if <u>detection</u> probability is low<sup>18</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Species- dependent<sup>5</sup></li> <li>&gt; 1200 for most<sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Species- dependent<sup>17</sup></li> </ul>	<sup>15</sup> MacKenzie et al., 2002

Approach	Camera arrangement	<u>Camera</u> spacing	Number of cameras	Camera days per camera location	<u>Total number of</u> <u>camera days</u>	Survey duration	References
	<ul> <li><u>Clustered</u><sup>8,19</sup></li> <li><u>Stratified</u> <u>random</u><sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	range diameter <sup>5</sup> • If home range size unknown, > 1 km <sup>5</sup> • ≥ 1 km is typical <sup>5</sup>	<ul> <li>&gt; 60; species- dependent<sup>1</sup></li> <li>&lt; 20 for common (occur at &gt; 75% of camera locations)<sup>ii,14</sup></li> <li>≤ 30 if ψ &gt; 0.8 (occur at &gt; 80% of camera locations)<sup>ii,18</sup></li> <li>&gt; 150 for rare (occur at &lt; 25% of camera locations)<sup>ii,14</sup></li> <li>30-60 sites for less common<sup>18</sup></li> </ul>		<ul> <li>&gt; 1,000 for most<sup>7,16–18</sup></li> <li>&gt; 5,000 for rare / hard to detect<sup>18</sup></li> </ul>	• Ideally < 6 months <sup>7,16–18</sup>	<ul> <li><sup>16</sup> Mackenzie &amp; Royle, 2005</li> <li><sup>17</sup> Guillera- Arroita et al., 2010</li> <li><sup>18</sup> Shannon et al., 2014</li> <li><sup>19</sup> Pacifici et al., 2016</li> <li><sup>20</sup> Rowcliffe et al., 2008</li> <li><sup>21</sup> Rovero &amp; Marshall. 2009</li> </ul>
Relative abundance indices (RAI)	<ul> <li>Ideally <u>random</u><sup>5</sup></li> <li><u>Systematic</u> <u>random</u><sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No minimum<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Ideally ≥ 1 km<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Ideally 1-2 km<sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>As many as possible<sup>5,20</sup></li> <li>Minimum 20<sup>5,20</sup></li> <li>Ideally ≥ 50<sup>5,20</sup></li> <li>If stratified, 20-50 per stratum<sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No minimum<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Ideally ≥ 30<sup>5</sup></li> <li>As many as possible<sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ideally &gt; 2000<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Enough to capture &gt; 10 detections<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Ideally &gt; 20 detections<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Usually &gt; 2,000 for many carnivores / rare ungulates<sup>5,20</sup></li> <li>&gt; 250 for common<sup>5,20,21</sup></li> <li>&gt; 20,000 "hyperrare" (caught 0.1% of the time)<sup>5,7</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No maximum<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Ideally &lt; 12 months<sup>3</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li><sup>22</sup> Karanth &amp; Nichols, 1998</li> <li><sup>23</sup> Karanth, 1995</li> <li><sup>24</sup> Sollmann et al., 2012</li> <li><sup>25</sup> Clarke et al., 2023</li> <li><sup>26</sup> Tobler &amp; Powell, 2013</li> <li><sup>27</sup> Krebs et al., 2011</li> <li><sup>28</sup> Noss et al., 2012</li> </ul>
Capture- recapture (CR) / Capture-mark- recapture (CMR) <sup>22,23</sup>	<ul> <li>Ideally <u>paired</u><sup>iii,1,2,5</sup> or <u>random</u><sup>5</sup></li> <li><u>Targeted</u><sup>iv,2,5,24</sup></li> <li><u>Targeted</u> for carnivores<sup>1</sup></li> <li><u>Systematic</u><sup>25</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Spatially dependent<sup>v,5</sup></li> <li>Species- dependent<sup>vi,1</sup> (&lt; home range diameter)</li> <li>1-4 km is typical<sup>2,5,24</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CR/CMR:</li> <li>At minimum, enough to encompass the home ranges of 5- 10 individuals<sup>5,26–28</sup></li> <li>&gt; 2-4 per smallest home range<sup>1,22</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥ 30 for all but the most detectable<sup>5,26</sup></li> <li>&gt; 60 for reasonable precision for most<sup>5,26</sup></li> <li>&gt; 60-120 if capture probability is low<sup>5,26</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>&gt; 1,000 for most<sup>5</sup></li> <li>&gt; 1200 for common<sup>5</sup></li> <li>&gt; 3,600 if <u>detection</u> <u>probability</u> or species density is low<sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>As short as possible<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Species-dependent<sup>2,24</sup></li> <li>Ideally &lt; 3 months<sup>2,24</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li><sup>29</sup> Borchers &amp; Efford, 2008</li> <li><sup>30</sup> Royle &amp; Young, 2008</li> <li><sup>31</sup> Royle et al., 2009</li> <li><sup>32</sup> Sun et al., 2014</li> </ul>

Approach	Camera arrangement	<u>Camera</u> spacing	Number of cameras	Camera days per camera location	<u>Total number of</u> <u>camera days</u>	Survey duration	References
			CR/CMR / SECR/SCR:		Enough for > 20- detections <sup>5,28,37</sup>		<sup>33</sup> Burgar et al., 2018
Spatially explicit capture- recapture (SECR) / Spatial capture- recapture (SCR) <sup>29,30 31,38</sup>	<ul> <li>Paired<sup>1,5</sup></li> <li><u>Clustered</u><sup>5,32</sup></li> <li><u>Systematic</u><sup>25</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Species- dependent (&lt; home range size)<sup>5,24,32</sup></li> <li>Ideally, 1/3 the home range radius<sup>5,24,32</sup> (~4- 7 camera per home range)<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Maximum of 0.8 times the home range radius<sup>5,24,32</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Minimum 20<sup>5,28,37</sup></li> <li>&gt; 4 per home range<sup>5,39</sup></li> <li>If used suggested 4 camera per home range, 40-120 locations<sup>5</sup></li> <li>SECR/SCR:</li> <li>&gt; 4 per home range<sup>5</sup></li> <li>At minimum, enough to expose 10-30 individuals to sampling<sup>1,5,26–28,35</sup></li> <li>Ideally, enough to capture &gt; 20 individuals<sup>5,36,37</sup> (encompass home ranges) and 20-50 total recaptures<sup>5,28,38</sup></li> <li>60-100 if detection probability is &lt;0.1<sup>26</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥ 30 for all but the most detectable<sup>5,26</sup></li> <li>&gt; 60 for reasonable precision for most<sup>5,26</sup></li> <li>&gt; 60-120 if detection probability is low<sup>5,26</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>&gt; 60 recaptures<sup>1</sup></li> <li>&gt; 1,000 for most<sup>5</sup></li> <li>&gt; 1200 for common<sup>5</sup></li> <li>&gt; 3,600 if <u>detection</u> <u>probability</u> or species density is low<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Enough for 20-50 recaptures<sup>5,28,38</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Minimum 1 month per <u>survey</u> (presuming multiple surveys)<sup>33,34</sup></li> <li>Ideally &gt; 12 months total (based on minimum for <u>SCR</u> models)<sup>33,34</sup></li> <li>Ideally 1-3 months (depending on time required to maximize detections while minimizing violation of "population closure"</li> </ul>	<ul> <li><sup>34</sup> Burgar, personal communicatio n, April 23, 2023</li> <li><sup>35</sup> Karanth et al., 2011</li> <li><sup>36</sup> Foster &amp; Harmsen, 2012</li> <li><sup>37</sup> White et al., 1982</li> <li><sup>38</sup> Efford, 2004</li> <li><sup>39</sup> Dillon &amp; Kelly, 2008</li> <li><sup>40</sup> Chandler &amp; Royle, 2013</li> <li><sup>41</sup> Sollmann et al., 2013b</li> <li><sup>42</sup> Burgar, 2021</li> <li><sup>43</sup> Clark, 2019</li> </ul>
<u>Spatial mark-</u> resight (SMR) (type of <u>SCR</u> model) <sup>24,32,40</sup>	<ul> <li><u>Random</u> relative to activity centres<sup>41</sup></li> <li><u>Systematic</u> <u>random<sup>25</sup></u></li> <li><u>Clustered</u><sup>25</sup></li> </ul>	• 1-3 sigma (related to home range size) <sup>32</sup>	• Minimum $30^{34,42}$ • 60 (but will depend on detection probability and resight data)^{34,42} • Minimum 30 (precision dependent on number of <u>marked</u> individuals in a population)^{34,42} • 360 days^{34,42} • 360 days^{34,42}	assumption) <sup>33,34</sup>	assumption) <sup>33,34</sup>	assumption) <sup>33,34</sup>	<ul> <li><sup>44</sup> Sun et al., 2022</li> <li><sup>45</sup> Augustine et al., 2019</li> <li><sup>46</sup> Augustine et al., 2018</li> </ul>
Spatial count (SC) <sup>40</sup> (type of SCR model)	<ul> <li><u>Systematic</u> <u>random</u><sup>25,32,43</sup></li> <li><u>Clustered</u><sup>25,32,43</sup></li> </ul>	Close enough that individuals will be detected at multiple locations <sup>25,31</sup>	<ul> <li>Minimum 30<sup>33,44</sup></li> <li>60 (but will depend on <u>detection</u> <u>probability</u> and resight data)<sup>33,44</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>most detectable<sup>5,26</sup></li> <li>&gt; 60 for reasonable precision for most<sup>5,26</sup></li> <li>&gt; 60-120 if detection</li> </ul>	-		<ul> <li><sup>47</sup> Davis et al., 2021</li> <li><sup>48</sup> Rowcliffe et al., 2013</li> <li><sup>49</sup> Loonam et al., 2021</li> </ul>

Approach	Camera arrangement	<u>Camera</u> spacing	Number of cameras	Camera days per camera location	<u>Total number of</u> <u>camera days</u>	Survey duration	References
				<u>probability</u> is low <sup>5,26</sup>			<sup>50</sup> Rowcliffe et al., 2016
Spatial Partial Identity Model (Categorical SPIM; catSPIM) <sup>44,45</sup>	• Same as <u>SC</u> <sup>25,32,44,45</sup>	• Similar to <u>SC</u> <sup>25,32,44,45</sup>	Similar to <u>SC</u> or with fewer cameras <sup>44</sup>	• Similar to <u>SC</u> or less <sup>25,32,44,45</sup>	• Similar to <u>SC</u> or less <sup>25,32,44,45</sup>	• Similar to <u>SC</u> or less (such that identity traits [e.g., antlers present/ absent] don't change) <sup>32</sup>	<ul> <li><sup>51</sup> Nakashima et al., 2018</li> <li><sup>52</sup> Moeller et al., 2023</li> <li><sup>53</sup> Becker et al., 2022</li> </ul>
Spatial Partial Identity Model(2-flank SPIM)46 (extension of SCR that uses probabilistic identities)	• Same as <u>SCR</u> <sup>25,46</sup> ; however, more flexible <sup>47</sup>	Similar to <u>SCR</u> 25,46	• Fewer cameras than <u>SCR</u> (or same but larger sampling area) <sup>viii,46</sup>	• Similar to <u>SCR</u> or less <sup>25,46</sup>	• Similar to <u>SCR</u> or less <sup>25,46</sup>	• Similar to <u>SCR</u> or less <sup>25,46</sup>	<ul> <li><sup>54</sup> Huggard, 2018</li> <li><sup>55</sup> Warbington et al., 2020</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Ideally, <u>systematic</u> closely-spaced (relative to home range size)<sup>vi,46</sup></li> </ul>						<ul> <li><sup>56</sup> Howe et al., 2017</li> <li><sup>57</sup> Moeller et al.,</li> </ul>
Random encounter models (REM) <sup>20,48</sup>	<ul> <li><u>Random</u> relative to movement<sup>ix,1,5,48,4</sup></li> <li><u>Systematic</u><sup>49</sup></li> <li><u>Systematic</u> random<sup>x,5</sup></li> <li><u>Stratified</u> random<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Stratified targeted<sup>xi,5</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No minimum<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Ideally ≥ 1 km<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Spatially independent<sup>48</sup></li> <li>&gt; home range diameter<sup>5</sup></li> <li>1-2 km without home range size, closer if using mixed models<sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Minimum 20<sup>5,20</sup></li> <li>Ideally &gt; 50<sup>5,20</sup></li> <li>Dependent on species' <u>density</u><sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	• No minimum <sup>5</sup> • Ideally > 30 <sup>5</sup>	<ul> <li>Minimum 10 detections<sup>1,20</sup></li> <li>Ideally &gt; 20 detections<sup>1,20</sup></li> <li>Often 2,000<sup>1,20</sup></li> <li>1,000-10,000 for most, if estimates of activity and speed are to be reasonable precise<sup>48</sup></li> <li>&gt; 2000 for low- <u>density</u> carnivores / rare ungulates<sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ideally &lt; 12 months<sup>5</sup></li> <li>No maximum<sup>20</sup></li> </ul>	2018 <sup>58</sup> Ridout & Linkie, 2009 <sup>59</sup> Rowcliffe et al., 2014
Random encounter and staying time (REST) <sup>51</sup>			• Same as	REM <sup>52 53</sup>			

Approach	Camera arrangement	<u>Camera</u> <u>spacing</u>	Number of cameras	Camera days per <u>camera location</u>	<u>Total number of</u> <u>camera days</u>	Survey duration	References
Time in front of the camera (TIFC) <sup>53–55</sup>	• <u>Random</u> or <u>stratified random</u> (representative) relative to movement <sup>53</sup>	• Same as <u>REM</u> <sup>52,53</sup>					
Distance sampling (DS) <sup>56</sup>	<ul> <li><u>Random</u> relative to movement, pointing in either random or consistent direction<sup>25,49</sup></li> <li><u>Systematic</u><sup>49</sup></li> <li><u>Random</u> or <u>targeted</u> across known <u>density</u> gradient<sup>52</sup></li> </ul>		• Depende	ent on spatial extent of	interest <sup>52</sup>		
Time-to-event (TTE) model <sup>56</sup> Space-to-event (STE) model <sup>56</sup> Instantaneous sampling (IS) <sup>56</sup>	<ul> <li><u>Random</u> relative to movement<sup>49</sup></li> <li><u>Systematic</u><sup>49</sup></li> <li><u>Systematic</u> random<sup>49</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No minimum if random sampling used<sup>56</sup></li> <li>None (uses instantaneous snapshots)<sup>57</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Dependent on species <u>density</u> and distribution (e.g., more cameras with lower <u>density</u> and more clumped distribution)<sup>56</sup></li> <li>Minimum 20<sup>57</sup></li> <li>Ideally &gt; 50<sup>57</sup></li> </ul>	• No minimum <sup>56</sup>	• Dependent on species <u>density</u> and distribution <sup>57</sup>	<ul> <li>None required<sup>57</sup></li> <li>If demographic/ geographic closure <u>assumptions</u> not met the estimate will be mean abundance or <u>density</u> in <u>study</u> <u>area</u> during the <u>survev</u><sup>57</sup></li> </ul>	
Behaviour	<ul> <li>Ideally, <u>random</u><sup>5</sup></li> <li><u>Stratified</u><sup>5</sup></li> <li>Usually <u>targeted</u><sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li><u>Objective</u>- dependent<sup>5</sup></li> <li>Ideally, independent ( &gt; home range diameter or &gt; 1 km)<sup>58,59</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Activity patterns: Enough to obtain &gt; 100 detections<sup>58,59</sup></li> <li>If stratified, &gt; 20 per stratum<sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	-	-	• Dependent on behavioural metric (e.g., if it occurs during a certain period) <sup>5</sup>	

- <sup>i</sup> Camera spacing to achieve spatial independence for species diversity and richness: locations should be independent, meaning that any two locations do not sample the same community of animals. Note this may be hard to achieve when considering the movement distances of some species, such as big cats, and in practice, a <u>camera spacing</u> of 1-2 km is often used (e.g., Tobler et al., 2008; Ahumada et al., 2011; Kinnaird & O'Brien, 2012)
- ii Number of cameras for occupancy models: should based on expected occupancy probability (i.e., the expected probability that a given camera site is occupied, for a given species [Kays et al., 2020]).
- iii Paired design camera arrangement for CR: due to the higher chance of recognizing all individuals captured in a survey; using two cameras also decreases the chances of missing captures entirely (Tobler et al., 2008).
- <sup>iv</sup> <u>Targeted</u> camera arrangement for CR: This design is commonly used when estimating densities of <u>marked populations</u> (e.g., <u>spatially explicit capture-recapture</u> [SECR; Borchers & Efford, 2008; Efford, 2004; Royle & Young, 2008]) or behaviour studies. However, <u>targeted</u> sampling may impede the ability to draw inferences beyond the <u>survey</u> area (Wearn & Glover-Kapfer, 2017).
- <sup>v</sup> Camera spacing to achieve spatial dependence for CR: "<u>camera locations</u> should be sufficiently close to one another such that individuals are picked up across more than one location" (Wearn & Glover-Kapfer, 2017).
- v<sup>i</sup> Camera spacing should be species-dependent (home range size) for CR/CMR: There is a trade-off between <u>density</u> and <u>survey</u> extent: 10-30 individuals exposed with a <u>camera location</u> density of at least 2-4 per smallest home range.
- vii Ideally, systematic camera arrangement, closely spaced cameras for <u>2-flank SPIM</u>: due to the increased likelihood of capturing both sides of the animal (Augustine et al., 2018)
- viii Fewer number of cameras 2-flank SPIM than for SCR (or same but larger sample area): Note larger sampling areas preferred for 2-flank SPIM since there will be fewer samples collected on the periphery of the sampled area and thus less uncertainty in identifying individuals (Augustine et al., 2018).
- ix <u>Random</u> camera arrangement for <u>REM</u>: Note that species with very restricted distributions in a landscape are best sampled using a <u>stratified design</u> (Wearn & Glover-Kapfer, 2017).
- \* Systematic random camera arrangement for REM: to ensure a minimum separation between cameras (Wearn & Glover-Kapfer, 2017).

xi Stratified targeted camera arrangement for REM: species that are highly restricted in occurrence (Wearn & Glover-Kapfer, 2017).